

# EFFECT OF THE QUANTITY AND QUALITY OF ORGANIC FERTILIZERS IN THE PHYSICAL PROPERTIES AND THE READINESS OF SOME NUTRIENTS TO SEDIMENTARY SOIL

## Zahraa Talib Fadel and Abdal Mohsin Abdullah Radhi \*

Faculty of Agriculture, Al-Muthanna University, Iraq. Corresponding Author: princealaaalzeeade@gmail.com

## **Abstract**

Field experiment carried out in Mahdi area in Al-Samawah town during the agricultural season 2017-2018. The wheat *Solanum melongena* L. response evaluation was studied for different fertilization treatments: (C) control, (F1) Buffalo manure at two levels (4% and 8%), (F2) Sheep manure at two levels (4% and 8%), (F3) poultry residues at two levels (4% and 8%), and (T) complete fertilizer recommendation. This study measured growth characteristics of the plant and some physical and chemical soil indicators, The results showed a significant improvement in the treatment of fertilization with poultry residues 4% on the density of soil, soil porosity and soil potassium concentration after harvest, absorbance, potassium available in soil at 50% flowering, while 8% buffalo manure treatment showed a significant increase in saturated water conductivity, Available of Nitrogen and phosphorus post-harvest..

Keywords: Quantity, quality, organic fertilizers, physical properties, soil.

#### Introduction

Organic matter has been used as a natural fertilizer and soil remediation since ancient times. Soil organic matter is one of the basic components. It is formed with the mineral part of the soil and called the solid phase, which consists mainly of plant, animal and living residues. The ratios of organic matter and its components vary according to the soil, common agricultural practices and environmental conditions (Al-Raawy, 2000). The drought soil, semi-drought and tropical regions has a percentage of organic matter not exceeding 2.5%. In some areas of sedimentary Iraq, such as the central and southern regions, it does not exceed 1%. This is due to high temperatures in the summer and low rainfall, which leads to rapid oxidation of organic matter and lack of vegetation cover and the change in pH, because of the containment of these soils on carbonate minerals and carbon dioxide, which leads to a lack of readiness of nutrients, especially the smaller ones and the lack of soil in Iraq of nutrients, in addition to sedimentary soils, especially in southern Iraq, because of its mud texture, soil degradation and high density due to soil weight, low porosity and low connectivity values. Due to the low percentage of organic matter in drought soils, it is important to increase organic matter content and to increase soil vitality and provide macro and micro nutrients (Al-Balkhi, 2006; Oada M Al Hassan, 2007). As a result of the adverse effects of the use of chemical additives, concerns in many countries of the world have tended to promote organic production, which is characterized as a clean food free from the residual effects of pesticides and chemical fertilizers. The percentage of nitrates and oxides decreases so that this ratio does not exceed the safe health limits, particularly in developed countries, the continued increase in the economic value of organic products in the world may show how much these products enjoy consumer concerns (Abu Rayyan, 2010). The aim of this study is to evaluate the effect of different organic fertilizers in the physical and soil properties of soil.

#### **Material and Methods**

Field experiment was carried out in the Mahdi area in Muthanna province during the winter season 2018-2017, to determine the effect of the quantity and quality of organic manure on the physical properties of soil and the growth and yield of wheat (class Ebaa 99). A simple experiment was applied with a complete cattle design (R.C.B.D) with three replicates. The soil additions included organic manure of three species (buffalo, sheep, poultry) and quantity (4% and 8%) each of them. The area of the experimental unit was 6 m<sup>2</sup> (3 x 2m dimensions). The experiment was planted on 15/11/2017 at a rate (120 kg<sup>-1</sup>.h), samples were taken from the soil of the field before planting. Several random samples were distributed to the field at a depth (0-30 cm), After the removal of the plant residues, Soil was softened using a wooden hammer and passed from a 2 mm diameter sieve. The chemical and physical analysis of the soil and its results were presented in Table (1). Soil density, soil porosity, saturated water conductivity, and soil volume distribution, the ratio of the weighted diameter and the readiness of N, and K were measured.

**Table 1:** Some of chemical and physical properties of soil before planting:

| Soil characteristics studied | Value                             | Unit  |  |
|------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-------|--|
| pН                           | -                                 | 7.4   |  |
| EC                           | dSc.m                             | 2.5   |  |
| Available of N               | mg.kg <sup>-1</sup> soil          | 12.5  |  |
| Available of P               | mg.kg <sup>-1</sup> soil          | 8.7   |  |
| Available of K               | mg.kg <sup>-1</sup> soil          | 192   |  |
| Ca                           | mg.kg <sup>-1</sup> soil          | 200   |  |
| Mg                           | mg.kg <sup>-1</sup> soil          | 121   |  |
| Carbonates                   | mg.kg <sup>-1</sup> soil          | 0     |  |
| Bicarbonate                  | mg.kg <sup>-1</sup> soil          | 67.1  |  |
| Chlorides                    | mg.kg <sup>-1</sup> soil          | 1785  |  |
| O.M                          | g.kg <sup>-1</sup> soil           | 0.95  |  |
| Available of water           | cm <sup>3</sup> .cm <sup>-3</sup> | 0.16  |  |
| Virtual density              | Meg.m <sup>-3</sup>               | 0.46  |  |
| The real density             | Meg.m <sup>-33</sup>              | 2.60  |  |
| Water conductivity           | m day <sup>-1</sup>               | 0.356 |  |

| Porosity                     | %                                  | 46.02 |
|------------------------------|------------------------------------|-------|
| Moisture at field capacity   | cm <sup>3</sup> .cm <sup>-3</sup>  | 0.42  |
| oil moisture when saturation | cm <sup>3</sup> .cm <sup>-33</sup> | 0.466 |

Tissue: clay loam

#### **Results and Discussion**

# Effect of different of organic levels on the density profile

Table (2) shows significant differences on soil density in additive treatments. The treatment of poultry waste (8%) gave the lowest value of soil density, while control treatment gave highest value of the apparent density of soil.

**Table 2 :** Effect of levels of different organic fertilizers on soil density

| Treatments          | Value (Meg.m <sup>-3</sup> ) |  |
|---------------------|------------------------------|--|
| Control             | 1.3977                       |  |
| NPK                 | 1.3240                       |  |
| Buffalo manure 4%   | 1.2113                       |  |
| Buffalo manure 8%   | 1.1137                       |  |
| Sheep manure 4%     | 1.1950                       |  |
| Sheep manure 8%     | 1.1153                       |  |
| poultry residues 4% | 1.1970                       |  |
| poultry residues 8% | 1.0407                       |  |
| L.S.D               | 0.05189                      |  |

These results can be explained by the role of organic matter in reducing soil density due to the low density of organic matter (0.8-0.95g.cm<sup>3</sup>), maybe to the large volume of organic matter compared with its mass (Widmer *et al.*, 2002) and this agree with Atea *et al.* (2019) and Al-Fadhli (2011).

## **Saturated water conductivity**

Table (3) shows significant differences in soil water conductivity between the addition treatments. Buffalo manure treatment8% exceeded the other treatments except for the treatment of sheep manure 8% and poultry waste 8% treatments which did not differ significantly (0.855, 0.832, 0.792) respectively, While its coefficient recorded the lowest complete recommendation for water conductivity (0.363). The increase in water conductivity when adding organic waste is due to the reduction of the apparent density (Table 2), this agree with Mosaddeghi *et al.* (2000).

**Table 3 :** Effect of Different Organic Fertilizers levels on water conductivity

| Treatments          | Value (m day-1) |  |
|---------------------|-----------------|--|
| Control             | 0.391           |  |
| NPK                 | 0.363           |  |
| Buffalo manure 4%   | 0.587           |  |
| Buffalo manure 8%   | 0.855           |  |
| Sheep manure 4%     | 0.588           |  |
| Sheep manure 8%     | 0.832           |  |
| poultry residues 4% | 0.507           |  |
| poultry residues 8% | 0.792           |  |
| L.S.D               | 0.128           |  |

## **Average Weighted Diameter:**

The table (4) shows significant differences in the mean soil weight ratio between the additive treatments. The treatment of sheep manure 8% exceeded on all the treatments, including the control treatment, which recorded the lowest value of weight (1.4703, 0.6967) respectively.

**Table 4 :** Effect of Different Organic Fertilizers levels on Average Weighted Diameter

| Treatments          | Value   |
|---------------------|---------|
| Control             | 0.6967  |
| NPK                 | 0.7100  |
| Buffalo manure 4%   | 1.0670  |
| Buffalo manure 8%   | 1.3907  |
| Sheep manure 4%     | 1.2487  |
| Sheep manure 8%     | 1.4703  |
| poultry residues 4% | 1.0007  |
| poultry residues 8% | 1.3137  |
| L.S.D               | 0.06842 |

The above results can be explained by the role of organic matter in improving soil physical properties and composition of soil aggregates as a result of organic decomposition products and organic excretions as a result of microorganisms, root growth and root secretions, this agree with Celik and Kilic (2004).

## Porosity of soil:

Table 5 shows significant differences in the soil porosity among the added treatments. The treatment of poultry waste 8% exceeded on the rest of the treatments, except for the treatment of 8% sheep manure, which did not differ significantly from them (53.88%, 56.07) respectively, while the control treatment recorded the lowest value of porosity (45.60%).

**Table 5 :** Effect of Different Organic Fertilizers levels on Porosity of soil

| Treatments          | Value |
|---------------------|-------|
| Control             | 45.60 |
| NPK                 | 47.73 |
| Buffalo manure 4%   | 49.65 |
| Buffalo manure 8%   | 52.47 |
| Sheep manure 4%     | 51.51 |
| Sheep manure 8%     | 53.88 |
| poultry residues 4% | 51.50 |
| poultry residues 8% | 56.07 |
| L.S.D               | 2.367 |

The effect of organic addition coefficients can be attributed to the difference in porosity in soil depending on their conditions, past coefficients and agricultural management, the more compact the soil, the smaller the pores between aggregates of soil and the increased density of the virtual, which significantly affects the growth of plants, the increase in total porosity in soils that have been supplemented by organic matter increases the volume and exchange of water and air (Cooperband, 2002; Min *et al.*, 2003).

# **Absorption of soil:**

Table 6 shows significant differences in the soil absorbance characteristics among the added treatments. The treatment of sheep manure 8% exceeded for the rest of the treatments except for the treatment of poultry waste 8% (0.5900, 0.5767) respectively. While the coefficient of the fertilizer recommendation was less than the absorption value reached 0.3667.

 
 Table 5 : Effect of Different Organic Fertilizers levels on
Absorption of soil

| Treatments          | Value   |
|---------------------|---------|
| Control             | 0.3700  |
| NPK                 | 0.3667  |
| Buffalo manure 4%   | 0.4700  |
| Buffalo manure 8%   | 0.5400  |
| Sheep manure 4%     | 0.5133  |
| Sheep manure 8%     | 0.5900  |
| poultry residues 4% | 0.5033  |
| poultry residues 8% | 0.5767  |
| L.S.D               | 0.04151 |

fertilizer added to improve physical properties, showing positive correlation with the soil uptake characteristic, this agree with Ali and Abdul Razak (2014).

**Table 6:** Effect of Different Organic Fertilizers levels on NPK concentration in soil.

|                       |                              | Table (7) silo                   |
|-----------------------|------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| Treatments            | Value                        | uptake at flowering              |
| Control               | 0.3700                       | The treatment of the             |
| NPK                   | 0.3667                       | to some treatments,              |
| Buffalo manure 4%     | 0.4700                       | 48.8 mg.kg <sup>-1</sup> . Buffa |
| Buffalo manure 8%     | 0.5400                       | available of P con               |
| Sheep manure 4%       | 0.5133                       | harvest. phosphorus              |
| Sheep manure 8%       | 0.5900                       | fertilizer recommen              |
| poultry residues 4%   | 0.5033                       | poultry waste 8% to              |
| poultry residues 8%   | 0.5767                       | 17.80, 18.87, 18.67              |
| L.S.D                 | 0.04151                      | control treatment r              |
| he above can be expla | nined to the role of organic | filled soil (7.40 mg.            |
|                       |                              |                                  |

| Treatments          | tments N (50% flowering) | N (After<br>harvest) | P (50% flowering) | P (After<br>harvest) | K (50% flowering) | K (After harvest) |
|---------------------|--------------------------|----------------------|-------------------|----------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
|                     |                          |                      |                   |                      |                   |                   |
| Control             | 14.22                    | 6.47                 | 15.40             | 7.40                 | 160.7             | 72.7              |
| NPK                 | 48.87                    | 31.27                | 32.63             | 17.80                | 397.0             | 187.7             |
| Buffalo manure 4%   | 45.86                    | 43.63                | 27.63             | 17.40                | 373.3             | 193.3             |
| Buffalo manure 8%   | 47.77                    | 47.38                | 33.93             | 18.97                | 410.3             | 182.3             |
| Sheep manure 4%     | 42.46                    | 42.80                | 29.00             | 15.10                | 370.7             | 205.0             |
| Sheep manure 8%     | 48.30                    | 46.73                | 34.17             | 18.87                | 413.7             | 184.7             |
| poultry residues 4% | 39.63                    | 40.43                | 27.93             | 15.80                | 343.0             | 181.7             |
| poultry residues 8% | 45.84                    | 46.13                | 32.43             | 18.67                | 408.7             | 260.3             |
| L.S.D               | 5.096                    | 3.107                | 3.750             | 2.808                | 51.69             | 80.23             |

The organic matter had a significant effect on the increase in nutrients concentrations of nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium in the soil. This may also be due to the fact that organic matter contains a good content of plantabsorbable nutrients and the role of organic matter on improving the physical, chemical and biological properties of soil ,the role of organic acids resulting from the process of decomposition of organic matter in reducing the degree of interaction and dissolving hard compounds decomposition as phosphate compounds and release the element of phosphorus from its complexities (Gomaa et al., 2005; Valarini et al., 2009).

### Conclusion

The results showed a significant in the treatment of fertilization with poultry residues 4% improvement on the density of soil, soil porosity and soil potassium concentration in after harvest, while buffalo manure 8% was increased in saturated water conductivity, available of Nitrogen and phosphorus in post-harvest.

## References

- Abu-Rayyan, A. (2010). Organic Agriculture (Horticulture and Crops) Faculty of Agriculture Jordan University Amman Jordan.
- Al-Balkhi, A. (2006). Study of the interactions of some natural and productive organic compounds, their complexities, effectiveness in soil fertilization and crop productivity. PhD thesis. Damascus University Central Organization for Statistics and Information Technology. Directorate of Agricultural Statistics, Ministry of Planning, Republic of Iraq.

# NPK concentration in soil:

Table (7) shows significant differences in N, P and K g 50% among treatments addition of soil. he fertilizer recommendation was superior , with the highest nitrogen value recorded alo manure 8% treatment was superior on mpare with most treatments when postis was estimated with the exception of the endation, sheep manure 8% treatment, treatment and buffalo manure4% (18.97, 67, 17.40 mg. kg<sup>-1</sup>) respectively, but the recorded the lowest value of phosphorusg. kg<sup>-1</sup>). The treatment of sheep manure 8% recording the highest value of available of potassium. There were no significant differences among the treatments except the control treatment, which recorded the lowest value of available potassium (413.7, 160.7 mg) respectively.

- Al-Fadhli, J.T.M. (2011). Effect of organic and mineral fertilization on the growth and productivity of potatoes. Soil and Water Resources Department, Baghdad University, Faculty of Agriculture.
- Ali, N.S. and Abdul Razak, S.A. (2014). Soil and organic fertilization and their role in sustainable agriculture. Desertification Section. faculty of Agriculture . Baghdad University. Ministry of Higher Education and Scientific Research.
- Al-Raawy, A.H.M. (2000). Emissions of carbon dioxide and processing of nitrogen from different organic materials added to soil. Master Thesis-College of Agriculture-University of Baghdad.
- Atea, A.R. and Issa, F.H. (2019). Effect of organic fertilizer and mycorrhiza fungi on some physical and chemical properties of soils. Plant Archives. 19(1): 534-537.
- Celik, I.; Ortas, I. and Kilic, S. (2004). Effects of compost, mycorrhiza, manure and fertilizer on some physical properties of a Chromoxerert soil. Soil & Tillage Research. 78: 59-67.
- Cooperband, L. (2002). Building soil organic matter with organic amendments. Center of Integrated Agricultural Systems. 6-12.
- Gomaa, A.M.; Moawad, S.S.; Ebadah, I.M.A. and Salim, H.A. (2005). Application of Bio-Organic Farming and its Influence on certain Pests Infestation, Growth and productivity of Potato Plants. Journal of Applied Sciences Research. 1(2): 205-211.
- Min, D.H.; Islam, K.R.; Vough, L.R. and Weil, R.R. (2003). Dairy manure effects on soil quality properties and carbon sequestration in alfalfa orchard grass systems Commun. Soil Sci. Plant Anal. 34: 781 – 79915.

- Mosaddeghi, M.R.; Hajabbasi, M.A.; Hemmat, A. and Afyuni, M. (2000). Soil compactibility as affected by soil moisture content and farmyard manure in central Iran. Soil & Tillage Research, 55: 87-97.
- Oada, M. and Al-Hassan, H. (2007). The Effect of the Use of Different Types and Types of Organic Fertilizers in Some Productivity Indicators of Potato Crop. Al-Baath University Journal. 29(7): 87-116.
- Valarini, P.J.; Curaqueo, G.; Seguel, A.; Manzano, K.; Rubio, R.; Cornejo, P. and Borie, F. (2009). Effect of Compost Application On Some Properties of A Volcanic Soil From Central South Chile. Chilean Journal of Agricultural Research. 69(3):416-425.
- Widmer, T.L.; Mitkowski, N.A. and Abawi, G.S. (2002). Soil organic matter and management of plant parasitic nematodes. J. Nematol., 34(4): 289–295.